INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL | OPEN ACCESS | PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE | VOLUME I, ISSUE I, 2006
Received: September 01, 2026 • Accepted: October 03, 2026 • Published: November 01, 2026

Transnational Organized Crime and Illicit Markets in the Americas: International Cooperation, State Sovereignty, and the Role of the Organization of American States

Barbara Aline Ferreira Assunção

Abstract

This study examines illicit markets and transnational organized crime as significant challenges to security, institutional governance, and international cooperation throughout the Americas. It aims to analyze the historical development of international drug control policies, the relationship between state sovereignty and international cooperation, and the institutional strategies developed by the Organization of American States (OAS) and its partner organizations to address transnational criminal activities. The study adopts a qualitative, analytical approach based on historical and theoretical literature and international legal and institutional frameworks. The discussion explores the evolution of drug control policies, the consolidation of multilateral regulatory mechanisms, and the role of international institutions in promoting coordinated responses to cross-border security challenges. The analysis highlights the importance of sovereign equality, compliance with international obligations, institutional coordination, and multilateral governance in addressing illicit markets and transnational organized crime. It also identifies differences in national legal frameworks, institutional capacities, and political priorities as relevant considerations in regional cooperation. The study concludes that sustained collaboration among states and international organizations, particularly through regional institutional mechanisms such as those provided by the OAS, is essential to strengthening security governance, democratic stability, and the protection of human rights throughout the Americas.

Keywords: Transnational Organized Crime; Illicit Markets; International Cooperation; State Sovereignty; Organization of American States.

1 Introduction

The consumption, production, and circulation of psychoactive substances have been part of human history since the earliest civilizations. Throughout different historical periods, societies developed cultural, medicinal, religious, and recreational practices involving these substances, while gradually

establishing regulatory mechanisms to govern their production, distribution, and use. The expansion of international trade during the Age of Exploration further intensified the circulation of psychoactive substances across continents, contributing to their incorporation into different social and economic contexts. Over time, these historical developments played an important role in shaping national regulatory systems and the emergence of international drug control policies.

In contemporary society, illicit drug markets and transnational organized crime have become significant challenges for public security, institutional governance, economic development, and international cooperation. The expansion of illicit activities, together with the increasing complexity of criminal networks, has generated consequences that extend beyond national borders. Drug trafficking and associated criminal activities can affect public health, economic stability, social development, and the effectiveness of law enforcement institutions. Furthermore, substance abuse and dependence represent important public health concerns, with consequences for individuals, families, and communities, including increased exposure to violence, traffic accidents, workplace incidents, and other forms of social vulnerability.

In this context, transnational organized crime is a complex phenomenon that requires coordinated responses from national governments, regional institutions, and international organizations. Its cross-border nature presents challenges to traditional mechanisms of law enforcement and territorial jurisdiction, reinforcing the importance of institutional cooperation, information exchange, legal coordination, and the development of common strategies. Addressing these challenges requires an integrated understanding of the relationship between state sovereignty, international legal obligations, public security, and multilateral governance.

The relevance of this research lies in the growing importance of illicit markets and transnational organized crime within the international security agenda, particularly in the Americas. These activities have implications for economic development, institutional stability, regional security, and the capacity of states to implement effective public policies. Accordingly, examining the institutional responses developed to address these challenges contributes to academic discussions on international relations, organized crime, security governance, and the mechanisms through which states and international organizations coordinate their actions. The study also seeks to contribute to the academic literature by examining the regional and international dimensions of strategies to address illicit markets and transnational criminal networks.

Geographically, this research encompasses the American continent, considering the countries and territories that constitute the region. Its analytical focus extends beyond individual national

experiences to examine the interactions, institutional arrangements, and cooperative strategies developed by regional and international organizations. Attention is given to the Organization of American States (OAS) and its institutional partnerships, given their contributions to regional coordination, the development of common policies, and the strengthening of mechanisms to address illicit markets and transnational organized crime.

Illicit markets (IM) and transnational organized crime (TOC) represent interconnected challenges to security and institutional stability throughout the Americas. Nevertheless, differences in conceptual definitions, national priorities, regulatory frameworks, and institutional capacities can influence how governments identify and respond to these phenomena. The absence of a fully harmonized understanding of organized crime, combined with variations in national strategies and policy implementation, creates a complex environment for regional coordination. Although these issues occupy an established position on the Pan-American and international political agendas, participating countries may assign different levels of priority to them, resulting in diverse approaches among regional actors. Understanding these differences is essential for examining the development, scope, and effectiveness of multilateral cooperation mechanisms.

The theoretical framework of this study draws upon discussions concerning state sovereignty, international cooperation, and the institutional foundations of democratic security, as well as the historical evolution of international drug control policies. These perspectives provide the basis for examining how national governments participate in regional and international arrangements while maintaining their sovereign authority and fulfilling the international obligations they have undertaken. Emphasis is placed on the role of international institutions in facilitating coordination, strengthening legal cooperation, and promoting collective responses to transnational security challenges.

In this regard, the Organization of American States represents an important institutional reference for understanding regional cooperation in the Americas. Through its institutional framework and partnerships with other international organizations, the OAS provides a platform for dialogue, policy coordination, and the development of cooperative initiatives addressing shared security concerns. Examining these mechanisms enables identification of the institutional strategies adopted to address illicit markets and transnational organized crime, as well as their relevance to the broader framework of international security governance.

Accordingly, this study aims to analyze illicit markets and transnational organized crime as Pan-American security challenges, examining their regional dynamics and broader global implications.

More specifically, it seeks to investigate the institutional actions, cooperative mechanisms, and strategic initiatives developed by the Organization of American States and its partner organizations to address illicit markets and transnational organized crime throughout the Americas. By doing so, the research seeks to contribute to a broader understanding of the relationship between regional security, state sovereignty, international cooperation, and multilateral institutional governance.

2 Theoretical

2.1 State Sovereignty, International Cooperation, and the Institutional Foundations of Democratic Security

In contemporary international relations, state sovereignty is grounded in the principle of sovereign equality, according to which all states possess equal legal status within the international system, regardless of their political, economic, or military capacities. This principle establishes the foundations of relations among independent states, recognizing that no state is inherently subordinate to another. Article 2(1) of the Charter of the United Nations explicitly establishes that the organization is based on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its members, reaffirming the importance of equality, independence, and mutual respect in international relations.

Similarly, the Charter of the Organization of American States (OAS) recognizes that the international order is founded upon respect for the personality, sovereignty, and independence of states, as well as the faithful fulfillment of obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law. These provisions demonstrate that sovereignty and international cooperation are complementary principles within the contemporary legal framework. Sovereignty does not preclude states from participating in international mechanisms for evaluation, accountability, and collective decision-making, particularly when such mechanisms arise from voluntarily assumed international commitments.

As Walker (2020) discuss, the contemporary understanding of state sovereignty must accommodate cooperation and collective decision-making while preserving states' independence and respecting their domestic institutional arrangements. Within a Democratic Rule of Law State, sovereignty should not be understood exclusively as an expression of a single authority, whether represented by a monarch, parliament, or the people as an abstract political entity. Rather, its modern interpretation entails a broader distribution of political authority, greater institutional accountability, and more democratic participation. This perspective reinforces the importance of

communication, public deliberation, and institutional processes through which citizens participate in shaping political and legal order.

Within relations between states and international organizations, legal cooperation may assume a vertical dimension. This form of cooperation refers to the relationship established between national authorities and international institutions empowered to interpret, monitor, or enforce international legal obligations. Examples include international human rights courts and the International Criminal Court (ICC). In this framework, states cooperate with international institutions by fulfilling treaty obligations, implementing applicable international standards, and observing the decisions issued by competent judicial bodies.

According to Corao (2025) the establishment of international judicial institutions, particularly the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, reflects the international community's commitment to strengthening the implementation of international legal standards concerning the protection of human dignity. The effectiveness of these institutions depends substantially on the cooperation of states that have accepted their respective jurisdiction and legal commitments. Such cooperation contributes to the consistent application of international law and the strengthening of institutional mechanisms designed to protect fundamental rights.

Vertical international cooperation is therefore based on the understanding that compliance with international obligations and respect for the decisions of international courts are essential components of an effective international human rights protection system. States participating in these legal frameworks contribute to their institutional effectiveness by maintaining constructive relations with international judicial bodies, implementing applicable decisions, and incorporating relevant international standards into their domestic legal systems. These practices strengthen legal certainty, institutional legitimacy, and the protection of human rights across different national jurisdictions.

The effectiveness of international judicial cooperation is closely connected to the willingness and institutional capacity of participating states to fulfill their international commitments. Cooperation reinforces the credibility of international judicial mechanisms and contributes to the consistent application of international legal standards. As Zoja (2020) observes, vertical cooperation plays an important role in ensuring that international human rights protection systems fulfill their institutional purpose of promoting human dignity and safeguarding the principles of international law.

The theoretical foundations of this discussion can also be enriched by the contributions of the Frankfurt School, an intellectual movement that emerged in Germany during the 1920s and became particularly influential in critical theory, sociology, and political philosophy. Associated with prominent thinkers such as Theodor Adorno, Max Horkheimer, Herbert Marcuse, and Walter Benjamin, this intellectual tradition developed interdisciplinary approaches to understanding social, cultural, political, and economic structures.

In the field of security studies, contributions from critical social theory provide analytical tools for examining institutional arrangements, power relations, governance structures, and the mechanisms by which societies organize and maintain public security. Scholars such as Winfried Hassemer and Cornelius Prittwitz have contributed to discussions concerning criminal law, human rights, democratic institutions, social justice, and the Rule of Law, offering relevant perspectives on the relationship between security policies and fundamental rights.

From this perspective, security should be understood as a multidimensional institutional phenomenon that encompasses not only policing and law enforcement but also the legal, political, and social structures that support public order and democratic governance. The Frankfurt School's emphasis on critical reflection provides a framework for examining how institutional practices, social conditions, and power arrangements influence the development and implementation of security policies. This approach encourages a comprehensive understanding of security challenges by considering both immediate concerns, such as crime and violence, and the broader institutional and social conditions associated with public safety, equality, and justice.

International treaties also constitute an essential component of the institutional framework governing cooperation among states. From a formal perspective, treaties may be classified according to the number of participating parties, particularly as bilateral or multilateral agreements. Bilateral treaties establish legal commitments between two states, whereas multilateral treaties involve three or more parties and frequently provide broader institutional frameworks for addressing common interests and international challenges. From a material perspective, treaties may also be examined according to their subject matter, legal purpose, and the nature of the obligations they establish.

The democratic character of states represents an important institutional factor in the development and consolidation of international cooperation, whether bilateral, multilateral, or regional. Democratic institutions can facilitate cooperation by promoting transparency, accountability, institutional continuity, and greater predictability in government decision-making. One of the

central purposes of regional cooperation is to reduce uncertainty about the conduct of participating states, thereby creating favorable conditions for establishing institutional arrangements and shared regulatory frameworks.

These institutional arrangements can influence state behavior by encouraging governments to consider the actions and commitments of other participants in accordance with established rules, norms, and conventions. Consequently, international institutions contribute to the development of expectations regarding the conduct of participating states, strengthening mutual confidence and facilitating coordinated responses to shared challenges (Walker, 2020).

Corao (2025) conceptualizes cooperation as a process of policy coordination in which participating actors, particularly states, adjust their behavior in response to the actual or anticipated preferences of other actors. This process requires a certain degree of predictability regarding the objectives, institutional priorities, and decision-making procedures of the participants. Predictability, in turn, facilitates the development of mutual confidence, the negotiation of common commitments, and the implementation of coordinated policies.

Democratic institutional arrangements can contribute significantly to this process because political accountability, representative institutions, public participation, and constitutional procedures provide mechanisms through which governmental decisions are formulated and legitimized. In democratic systems, political leaders operate within institutional frameworks that establish responsibilities and encourage responsiveness to public expectations, including those associated with electoral processes. These characteristics can strengthen institutional stability and facilitate the development of reliable international partnerships (Zoja, 2020).

Accordingly, the relationship between democracy, sovereignty, and international cooperation demonstrates that the contemporary international system is sustained by the interaction among independent states, international institutions, and shared legal commitments. Respect for national sovereignty, combined with institutional cooperation and adherence to international law, provides an important foundation for strengthening democratic governance, promoting international security, and protecting fundamental human rights.

2.2 The Historical Evolution of International Drug Control Policies and the Development of Global Drug Governance

The historical development of international drug control policies is closely connected to the evolution of public health strategies, national security priorities, international diplomacy, and the establishment of multilateral regulatory frameworks. In the United States, the growing international dimensions of drug trafficking and its implications for national security contributed to the expansion of governmental initiatives aimed at understanding and addressing the phenomenon. According to Ritter (2021) in 1973, the United States Congress dispatched a special investigative mission to Latin America to gather information concerning the Franco-Latin American connection. Furthermore, the approval of the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act in 1972 represented an important step toward the centralization of institutional efforts and the development of mechanisms for addressing drug production abroad.

According to Bergkvist, Barrett**,** Edman, & Johnson (2026)**,** 1976 was identified as a significant period in the expansion of cocaine consumption, which was increasingly associated in media representations with professional and artistic prestige, as well as patterns of discreet consumption. During the late 1970s, several Latin American countries introduced legislative measures addressing traditional practices involving coca leaves, including their mastication. In the 1980s, the discourse surrounding drug policies in the United States underwent further developments, influenced by a broader international and domestic context that included economic and political challenges such as the energy crisis, currency instability, inflation, unemployment, and the political transformations associated with the Sandinista Revolution in Nicaragua**.**

The historical origins of psychoactive substances and the development of their criminalization have been the subject of different scholarly interpretations. Nevertheless, historical evidence indicates that human societies have maintained relationships with psychoactive substances since ancient times. According to Avilés & Ditrych **(**2020) the use of such substance's dates to prehistoric periods, particularly the Upper Paleolithic, approximately 40,000 to 10,000 years ago. By around 8000 BCE, the use of psychoactive substances had become increasingly widespread across human communities, reflecting their integration into social, cultural, medicinal, and spiritual practices.

Zoja (2020) emphasizes th**e** historical relationship between human beings and psychoactive substances, observing that their consumption has frequently been associated with the search for pleasure and alternative states of consciousness. Similarly, Avilés & Ditrych (2020) highlights the widespread presence of Cannabis sativa, commonly known as cannabis or marijuana, across

different cultures. Beyond its psychoactive properties, the plant has historically served multiple purposes, including the production of ropes, textiles, paper, medicines, and food products.

Historical accounts also associate cannabis with ancient religious and medicinal traditions. The Chinese emperor Shen Nung, traditionally dated to approximately 2800 BCE, is associated with early descriptions of the plant and its potential effects on human consciousness. According to the account presented by Avilés & Ditrych **(**2020) excessive consumption was associated with hallucinations, while prolonged use was linked to spiritual experiences and altered perceptions. These historical references demonstrate that cannabis was not exclusively regarded as a psychoactive substance but also occupied an important position in religious, medicinal, and cultural practices.

In ancient societies, certain psychoactive substances were employed for medicinal and religious purposes, while also being incorporated into social activities and practices associated with pleasure. Within Hindu traditions, cannabis was regarded as one of five sacred plants and was associated with joy and spiritual significance. Similarly, historical accounts indicate that Greeks and Romans incorporated cannabis leaves into preparations involving wine and myrrh during social occasions. Other practices involved the use of heated leaves in enclosed spaces to produce aromatic and sensory experiences (Ritter, 2021).

During the Neolithic period, human communities, already familiar with substances capable of influencing consciousness and sensory perception, progressively developed methods for producing and processing them. One of the earliest documented fermented beverages was beer, whose production is associated with ancient Mesopotamian civilizations, particularly the Sumerians, around 8000 BCE. Its preparation involved ingredients such as malt, barley, and other cereals, and it formed part of communal and domestic practices. In examining the historical evolution of substance consumption (Ritter, 2021), highlights the ancient Greek understanding that the effects of substances were closely related to the manner and quantity of their consumption, emphasizing moderation as an important consideration.

An important development in ancient Greek society was the gradual differentiation between medical knowledge and religious explanations of health and illness. This intellectual transformation contributed to the development of medicine and pharmacological knowledge through systematic observation of substances' effects on the human body. Greek physicians and thinkers progressively recognized phenomena such as tolerance, observing that repeated exposure to certain substances could reduce their effects over time. These observations contributed to a broader understanding of

the relationship among substances, human physiology, and social practices (Lipnik-Štangelj & Razinger, 2020).

In ancient Rome, the administration of certain substances, particularly opium, was also incorporated into economic and regulatory structures. The Roman authorities established mechanisms to control its commercialization, including taxation and fixed-price arrangements. Avilés & Ditrych (2020) reports that in 312 CE, Rome had 793 establishments selling medicinal substances, which contributed approximately 15% of the city's total tax revenue. This historical example illustrates the integration of substances into commercial activities and the development of governmental mechanisms for regulating their distribution.

The relationship between psychoactive substances and religious institutions also underwent significant transformations throughout history. Although several major religious traditions imposed restrictions on certain forms of consumption, historical records show that substances had long been incorporated into spiritual ceremonies and religious practices. In Christianity, for example, wine occupies a central symbolic and liturgical role, particularly within the Eucharistic tradition, where it represents the blood of Christ. While some ancient religious traditions incorporated psychoactive substances into mystical experiences, Christian practices developed their own ritual and symbolic frameworks for expressing spiritual communion (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

As Christianity expanded throughout Europe and became increasingly influential within the political and institutional structures of the Roman Empire, religious authorities progressively established norms governing spiritual practices, medicinal knowledge, and the use of certain substances. Practices associated with religious traditions outside the dominant Christian framework were increasingly subject to ecclesiastical regulation. Within this historical context, the symbolic significance of wine in Christian theology became closely associated with its sacramental function, distinguishing its religious meaning from the broader use of substances for their psychoactive properties **(**Avilés & Ditrych**,** 2020**).**

Avilés & Ditrych **(**2020) also describes the historical regulation of practices associated with magic, traditional medicine, and alternative religious expressions during the expansion of Christianity. The persecution of certain religious practitioners, including healers, herbalists, and spiritual leaders, formed part of broader processes of religious consolidation in medieval Europe, particularly during the period commonly associated with the European witch trials. At the same time, alchemical studies continued to develop within specific institutional environments, frequently involving relationships with universities, royal courts, and religious authorities. Alchemists combined

knowledge of botany, chemistry, and medicine in their investigations of natural substances and the properties of matter. Their research, including attempts to identify substances such as the philosopher's stone and the elixir of life, contributed to the historical development of scientific knowledge and the foundations of modern pharmacology (Collins, 2021).

The expansion of maritime trade and the establishment of global commercial networks during the Age of Exploration significantly transformed the circulation of plants, medicines, and substances across continents. Alongside spices and other valuable commodities, substances with sensory and physiological effects became increasingly integrated into international trade. Avilés & Ditrych (2020) describes these products as part of a broader category of sensory commodities, encompassing perfumes, balsams, exotic foods, and substances that modify physiological processes and states of consciousness. Their circulation reflected the growing economic importance of botanical resources and the establishment of commercial relationships between regions worldwide.

Around 1550, Portuguese and Spanish navigators helped introduce tobacco to Europe, where it gradually became widely consumed and attracted attention for its potential medicinal applications. Through Portuguese maritime networks, tobacco subsequently reached regions of Africa, India, China, and Japan (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020). The botanical resources of the Americas and other regions became increasingly integrated into global commercial systems, while colonial administrations developed regulatory approaches to their cultivation, distribution, and consumption.

Tobacco, whose dissemination was also associated with Jesuit networks, gradually became an established commodity in everyday life, alongside alcohol, sugar, coffee, tea, and chocolate. Other substances, including certain American cacti and hallucinogenic mushrooms, were subject to religious and colonial restrictions. These historical distinctions illustrate how the social and legal status of different substances evolved according to cultural, religious, economic, and institutional circumstances. During the twentieth century, additional substances, including opium derivatives, cocaine, and cannabis, became increasingly subject to international regulatory and prohibition frameworks **(**Avilés & Ditrych**,** 2020**).**

The eighteenth century brought significant intellectual and scientific transformations associated with the Enlightenment and the Scientific Revolution. The expansion of scientific inquiry, together with the gradual differentiation between political and religious institutions, created new conditions for the systematic investigation of natural substances. Advances in chemistry enabled researchers to

isolate and purify active compounds from natural extracts, contributing to the development of more concentrated pharmaceutical substances (Lipnik-Štangelj & Razinger, 2020).

In 1805, German pharmacist Friedrich Sertürner isolated morphine, the principal active alkaloid of opium, marking an important milestone in the development of modern pharmacology and pain management. The nineteenth and early twentieth centuries also witnessed the expansion of international discussions concerning production, commercialization, and regulation of opium. The Opium Wars, particularly those involving China and European powers, demonstrated the growing international significance of the substance and its implications for trade, diplomacy, and public policy. These developments contributed to subsequent international efforts to establish coordinated approaches to the regulation of opium and other substances (Ritter, 2021).

In 1909, the United States organized an international meeting in Shanghai, China, to discuss the regulation of opium, representing an important milestone in the development of multilateral drug control initiatives. The meeting contributed to the emergence of an international institutional framework for addressing the production, distribution, and consumption of controlled substances. The American delegation was headed by Charles Henry Brent, an Episcopal bishop who played a significant role in organizing the Shanghai International Opium Commission. The participation of religious, diplomatic, and legal representatives reflected the diverse institutional perspectives involved in the early development of international drug control policies (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

Another significant development occurred in the United States with the ratification of the Eighteenth Amendment to the Constitution in 1919, which established the constitutional basis for national alcohol prohibition. The policy prohibited the manufacture, sale, and transportation of alcoholic beverages and was initially associated with public policy objectives, including resource conservation during the First World War. Subsequently, the prohibition framework became a defining element of American regulatory policy during the 1920s (Ritter, 2021).

The implementation of alcohol prohibition generated significant changes in the domestic commercial and regulatory environment. Although the policy sought to restrict the availability of alcoholic beverages, the demand for alcohol continued to exist, contributing to the development of clandestine distribution networks. Avilés & Ditrych (2020) discusses how the prohibition period became associated with the expansion of illegal alcohol markets and new challenges for public security and law enforcement.

Throughout the 1920s, discussions concerning the effectiveness of alcohol prohibition became increasingly prominent in American political and institutional debates. The growth of illicit

commercial activities and the challenges of enforcement contributed to broader discussions about the relationship among regulatory measures, public behavior, and social outcomes. In 1933, during the presidency of Franklin D. Roosevelt, the constitutional prohibition framework was repealed through the ratification of the Twenty-first Amendment. This historical experience became an important reference point in subsequent discussions about the design, implementation, and evaluation of public policies concerning controlled substances (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

In 1946, the United Nations began consolidating the institutional mechanisms responsible for international drug control. This process contributed to the development of a more centralized multilateral regulatory framework, culminating in the adoption of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs in 1961. The Convention established a comprehensive international system for regulating narcotic substances, with particular emphasis on controlling production, distribution, and illicit trafficking, while also recognizing the importance of treatment and prevention measures addressing substance dependence (Collins, 2021).

The 1961 Convention established international obligations concerning the cultivation, production, manufacture, distribution, and use of controlled narcotic substances. It also introduced a classification system through which substances were organized into different schedules according to the applicable levels of international control. Cannabis and coca leaf were included within this regulatory framework, reflecting the international community's efforts at the time to establish common standards for the supervision of substances with psychoactive properties (Ritter, 2021).

Bergkvist, Barrett, Edman, & Johnson (2026), observes that, during the 1950s, drug consumption had not yet acquired the same economic and political significance that it would assume in subsequent decades. However, the 1960s witnessed an expansion in the international circulation and consumption of psychoactive substances, prompting greater attention from national governments and multilateral organizations. Within the United Nations framework, international discussions are increasingly focused on establishing common regulatory standards and addressing the health implications associated with substance dependence.

The 1960s also represented an important period in the development of the medical and public health approaches to drug consumption. Increasingly, discussions concerning psychoactive substances incorporated medical perspectives on dependence, prevention, and treatment. This development contributed to a broader understanding of substance use as a phenomenon involving individual health, social conditions, and public policy considerations (Lipnik-Štangelj & Razinger, 2020).

The election of Richard Nixon as President of the United States in 1968 introduced a significant new phase in the country's approach to drug control. His administration emphasized restoring law and order and expanded government initiatives to address drug-related challenges. During the 1970s, heroin consumption became a prominent concern in the United States, including in connection with the return of military personnel from the Vietnam War. Nixon subsequently characterized drug abuse as a major national challenge, contributing to the expansion of federal initiatives addressing both domestic consumption and international supply networks (Ritter, 2021).

Between 1971 and 1973, American drug control programs underwent substantial institutional expansion. These initiatives included legislative measures, the strengthening of federal enforcement mechanisms, and international cooperation directed toward addressing illicit production and distribution. Operations targeting areas associated with opium production, including initiatives involving Turkey, formed part of the broader international dimension of these policies. The administration's approach placed considerable emphasis on law enforcement, the control of production areas, and the establishment of more stringent penalties for drug trafficking offenses (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

The policies developed during this period became widely associated with the expression "War on Drugs," which subsequently remained an important reference point in American drug policy under successive administrations. Following Nixon's resignation in 1974, President Jimmy Carter introduced a different emphasis in the national debate. His administration expressed support for reconsidering the legal treatment of marijuana possession and consumption. In 1976, Carter advocated a less punitive approach toward marijuana, although the proposed changes did not result in the adoption of comprehensive federal decriminalization legislation (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

During this period, international drug control policies increasingly addressed multiple dimensions of the phenomenon, including individual consumption, public health, illicit production, and trafficking networks. The development of more comprehensive regulatory and enforcement mechanisms reflected the growing recognition that drug-related challenges extended beyond individual behavior and required coordinated institutional responses at both national and international levels (Ritter, 2021).

At the multilateral level, the United Nations continued to expand its regulatory framework. In 1971, the organization adopted the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, establishing international controls over a broader range of substances affecting the central nervous system. This was followed by the 1972 Protocol Amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961, which modified

the existing international framework and strengthened mechanisms for its implementation (Avilés & Ditrych, 2020).

During the same historical period, European countries developed different regulatory approaches to drug consumption. Italy adopted legislative measures in 1975 that modified the legal treatment of certain forms of drug use, subsequently revising its framework in 1990 and 1993. Spain also developed alternative approaches during this period, while the Netherlands introduced its well-known policy of practical tolerance toward cannabis in 1976 (Lipnik-Štangelj & Razinger, 2020).

These different national experiences demonstrate the diversity of regulatory approaches adopted within the international community. The relationship between legislation, consumption patterns, public health, and institutional effectiveness cannot be understood through a single explanatory factor. As Ritter (2021) observes, variations in drug consumption across countries are influenced by a combination of social, economic, cultural, and institutional circumstances, rather than by legislative arrangements alone.

Consequently, the historical development of international drug control policies reveals a gradual transition from predominantly local and culturally determined practices toward increasingly structured national regulatory systems and multilateral institutional arrangements. The establishment of international conventions, the expansion of judicial and administrative cooperation, and the development of public health strategies demonstrate the growing importance of coordinated governance in addressing drug-related challenges. This historical trajectory provides an essential foundation for understanding contemporary international drug policy, particularly the relationship between national sovereignty, international cooperation, public security, and the protection of human rights.

Conclusion

The analysis of illicit markets and transnational organized crime in the Americas demonstrates the complexity of these phenomena and their implications for regional security, institutional stability, economic development, and international relations. Their historical evolution reveals that the regulation of psychoactive substances and the development of drug control policies have progressively moved beyond domestic concerns, becoming part of broader international governance arrangements. The expansion of transnational criminal activities has further reinforced the importance of coordinated institutional responses capable of addressing challenges that extend across national borders.

The historical examination of international drug control policies highlights the gradual development of regulatory frameworks, from early national initiatives to the establishment of multilateral conventions and international cooperation mechanisms. The adoption of international instruments under the United Nations framework represents an important milestone in the consolidation of common standards for controlling narcotic and psychotropic substances. These developments demonstrate the relevance of international legal cooperation in establishing shared responsibilities and institutional procedures for addressing drug-related challenges.

From the perspective of international relations and public security, the study also reinforces the importance of understanding state sovereignty alongside international cooperation. Sovereign equality remains a fundamental principle of the international legal order, while participation in international organizations and adherence to treaty obligations provide mechanisms through which states can coordinate their policies and pursue common objectives. International cooperation does not eliminate national sovereignty; rather, it establishes institutional frameworks through which sovereign states can address shared challenges while respecting their respective legal commitments and institutional arrangements.

In this context, vertical legal cooperation and multilateral institutional coordination are relevant. International judicial institutions, regional organizations, and specialized agencies contribute to the development and implementation of international standards, facilitating dialogue, institutional accountability, and coordinated responses. The effectiveness of these mechanisms is closely associated with the commitment of participating states to fulfill their international obligations and strengthen the institutional capacity necessary for cooperation.

The Organization of American States occupies an important position in this regional framework. Its institutional structure provides a platform for dialogue, coordination, and the development of cooperative strategies among countries throughout the Americas. Its engagement with partner organizations also reflects the importance of multilateral approaches to addressing illicit markets and transnational organized crime. Through institutional cooperation, participating countries can strengthen information exchange, improve coordination between national authorities, and promote common approaches to regional security challenges.

Nevertheless, the diversity of national legal systems, institutional capacities, political priorities, and conceptual approaches to organized crime demonstrates the importance of developing strategies that recognize the specific circumstances of each participating country. Greater conceptual alignment, institutional dialogue, and continuity in cooperative initiatives can contribute to more

consistent regional responses. In this regard, the development of effective international strategies depends not only on the establishment of formal agreements but also on their practical implementation and the sustained participation of the states involved.

The relationship between security, democracy, and international cooperation also deserves particular attention. Democratic institutions, transparency, accountability, and the Rule of Law provide important foundations for building institutional confidence and strengthening international partnerships. At the same time, security policies benefit from comprehensive approaches that consider law enforcement, prevention, public health, social development, and the protection of fundamental human rights. This multidimensional perspective contributes to a more balanced understanding of the challenges associated with illicit markets and transnational organized crime.

Therefore, addressing illicit markets and transnational organized crime in the Americas requires an integrated approach based on respect for state sovereignty, compliance with international law, institutional cooperation, and the strengthening of regional governance mechanisms. The role of the Organization of American States and its partner organizations is particularly relevant in promoting dialogue and facilitating coordinated initiatives among countries facing interconnected security challenges.

Ultimately, the study emphasizes that transnationally organized crime cannot be adequately understood or addressed exclusively through isolated national policies. Its cross-border characteristics require sustained cooperation, institutional coordination, and shared commitments among states and international organizations. Strengthening these mechanisms represents an important contribution to regional stability, democratic governance, international security, and the protection of human rights throughout the Americas. Further research may deepen this discussion through comparative assessments of national strategies and the institutional performance of regional cooperation mechanisms, particularly those developed within the Organization of American States.

References

Avilés, C. S., & Ditrych, O. (2020). The evolution of international drug control under the United Nations. In *Research Handbook on International Drug Policy* (pp. 19-37). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Bergkvist, M., Barrett, D., Edman, J., & Johnson, B. (2026). Fear and Loathing in the United Nations: The Establishment of International Control of Psychedelics Through the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances. *Contemporary Drug Problems,* 00914509261429506.

Collins, J. (2021). *Legalising the Drug Wars*. Cambridge University Press.

Corao, C. A. (2025). *Enhancing Human Rights Protection:* Convergence of Constitutional and International Law. Taylor & Francis.

Lipnik-Štangelj, M., & Razinger, B. (2020). A regulatory take on cannabis and cannabinoids for medicinal use in the European Union. *Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, 71(1), 12-18.

Ritter, A. (2021). *Drug policy*. Routledge.

Walker, N. (2020). The sovereignty surplus. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 18(2), 370–428.

Zoja, L. (2020). *Drugs, Addiction and Initiation*-The Modern Search for Ritual. Daimon.